arXiv:math/0406475v1 [math.CT] 23 Jun 2004

A strict totally coordinatized version of Kapranov and Voevodsky's 2-category **2Vect**

Josep Elgueta
Dept. Matemàtica Aplicada II
Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
email: Josep.Elgueta@upc.es

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to give a concrete description of a strict totally coordinatized version of Kapranov and Voevodsky's 2-category of finite dimensional 2-vector spaces. In particular, we give explicit formulas for the composition of 1-morphisms and the two compositions between 2-morphisms.

1 Introduction

Let **Vect** be the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$. In [4], Kapranov and Voevodsky introduced a sort of categorification of **Vect**, denoted **2Vect** and called the 2-category of (finite dimensional) *2-vector spaces*. Roughly, the idea is to take the (ring) category **Vect** as the analog of the field $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, objects in **2Vect** are what they call **Vect**-module categories **Vect**-module equivalent to some finite product $\mathbf{Vect}^n$ of **Vect** (analog of the $\mathbb{C}$-modules linearly isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$) and 1-morphisms are the so-called **Vect**-module functors (analogous to the $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps); cf. [4] for more details. Needless to say, in **2Vect** we additionally have suitably defined 2-morphisms having no analog in **Vect**.

It is well-known that **Vect** is equivalent to the skeletal category **Mat** whose objects are all integers $n \geq 0$ and where each $m \times n$ complex matrix A ($m, n \geq 1$) is regarded as a morphism $A : n \longrightarrow m$, with composition the usual matrix product. The passage from **Vect** to **Mat** involves two steps: a "skeletization" step, consisting in the replacement of **Vect** by a skeleton, and a "coordinatization" step, where linear maps are identified with complex matrices by choosing a basis in each vector space.

Similarly, Kapranov and Voevodsky introduced two coordinatized versions of suitable skeleta of **2Vect**, denoted $\mathbf{2Vect}_c$ and $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$ by the authors. They differ in both the level of skeletization and the level of coordinatization. Thus, while in both versions the objects are natural numbers, corresponding to the fact that **2Vect** is replaced by a skeleton with the same set of objects in both cases, the description of the 1- and 2-morphisms is different. More explicitly, 1-morphisms in $\mathbf{2Vect}_c$ are matrices of (finite dimensional) vector spaces, while in $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$ they are matrices with entries in the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers. This is due to an additional skeletization (not coordinatization) step carried out in $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$, in which every vector space is identified with its dimension. As regards 2-morphisms, they are matrices whose entries are linear maps between corresponding vector spaces in $\mathbf{2Vect}_c$, while they are matrices of usual complex matrices in $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$. The difference is due now to an additional coordinatization step carried out in $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$.

As pointed out by the authors, $\mathbf{2Vect}_c$ is not a *strict* 2-category, because composition of 1-morphisms is not strictly associative. However, contrary to what authors claim (cf. [4], Definition 5.9), $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$ is also non strict. Thus, composition of 1-morphisms is given by the usual product of matrices, which is indeed strictly associative. But this is no longer true for the horizontal composition of 2-morphisms, which, althought not explicitly stated, seems to be defined by the usual formula for multiplying matrices but with the product and sum of the entries respectively given by the tensor product and the direct sum of complex matrices. This gives a non strictly associative composition between 2-morphisms precisely because the direct sum of matrices is non commutative (actually, it seems that Proposition 5.8. in [4], from which the authors would deduce the strict character of $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$, will not be true in general). This implies that the associator can not be taken trivial, because otherwise identity 2-morphisms would fail to satisfy the naturality condition required to any associator.

What happens is that, when the 1-morphisms of **2Vect** are identified with matrices of vector spaces (skeletization step on morphisms done to go from **2Vect** to $\mathbf{2Vect}_c$), the strict associativity of the composition of 1-morphisms is lost and, consequently, also the associativity of the horizontal composition of 2-morphisms. When one further skeletizes the category of morphisms to get matrices of positive integers as 1-morphisms (step from $\mathbf{2Vect}_c$ to $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$), strict associativity of the composition of 1-morphisms is recovered, but not the associativity of the horizontal composition of 2-morphisms.

The purpose of this paper is to describe a really *strict* and totally coordinatized version of **2Vect**, which will be denoted by $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$. Since a coordinatization process clearly preserves the strict character of **2Vect**, the non strict character of $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$ arises in the skeletization steps carried out by the authors on the categories of morphisms. The idea to get our version $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$ is then very simple, although not completely obvious to implement it. Namely, to take a suitable skeleton **2SVect** of **2Vect** which still is a strict 2-category (this is accomplished by skeletizing only at the level of objects) and to carry out *uniquely* a coordinatization process in order to keep strictness. The result is a 2-category where the objects are natural numbers, as in $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$, but where the 1- and 2-morphisms, as well as the various compositions, have a slightly more involved description than in Kapranov and Voevodsky's version.

Having at our disposal a strict and manageable version of **2Vect** seems to be a desirable goal, if one wishes to undertake a generalization of classical linear algebra and its applications to the categorical setting and if **2Vect** is really the good candidate for playing the role of **Vect**. In this sense, it is worth pointing out the repeated use various mathematicians have made of **2Vect** (or some version of it) in the last years. Thus, we have the above mentioned work by Kapranov and Voevodsky [4], where the 2-category **2Vect** is introduced in order to get what it looks as the right conceptual framework for Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations. There is also (unpublished) work by Yetter [7] claiming for a *categorical linear algebra* theory as a good candidate to provide us with "an algebraic footing for the extension to higher dimensions of the successful interaction between 3-manifold topology, quantum field theory and monoidal category theory". Along these lines, Mackaay [5], starting with a suitable pair of finite groups, introduces some sort of generalization to the 2-categorical setting of the classical group algebras, and shows how to use them to define invariants of 4-manifolds.

In a different direction, but also related to these developpments, Neuchl [6] considers the representation theory on 2-vector spaces of *Hopf categories*, an analog in the categorical setting of the classical notion of Hopf algebra, and which was first introduced by Crane and Frenkel [2] as an algebraic input to construct four dimensional topological quantum field theories. In particular, in Neuchl's work the reader may find an entire chapter devoted to "2-dimensional linear algebra" where a more general notion of (possibly infinite dimensional) 2-vector space is given. More recently, Barrett and Mackaay [1], in unpublished work, started exploring the representation theory of categorical groups on **2Vect** as the natural analog in the category setting of classical representation theory of groups.

This has actually been our motivation in undertaking this work: the representation theory of categorical groups. We start pursuing this direction in [3], where we use the 2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$ to give a very explicit description of the 2-category structure of the representations of any categorical group as automorphisms of a finite-dimensional 2-vector space. In particular, it easily follows from this description that the representation theory of categorical groups on these objects includes classical representation theory of groups on finite dimensional vector spaces, in the sense that the monoidal category of representations of any group G can be recovered as a full subcategory of the category of endomorphisms of a particular representation of G, when G is thought of as a categorical group with only identity arrows (as it should be suspected, the category of representations of a categorical group is not a category but a 2-category).

The outline of the paper is as follows. In a very short Section 2 we introduce the above mentioned skeleton of **2Vect** prior to the coordinatization process. The complete coordinatization of this skeleton is then carried out in Section 3, which constitutes the core of the paper. In Section 4 we present the final definition of $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$.

Notation. For any $n \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n \in \mathbb{N}^n$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The matrix in canonical basis of a linear map $f : \mathbb{C}^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^b$ is denoted by $[f]$. For any $m \times n$ complex matrix $\mathbf{M}$, $\mathbf{M}_i$ $i = 1, \ldots, m$, is the vector in $\mathbb{C}^m$ defined by the i^{th} row of $\mathbf{M}$. Furthermore, if $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$,$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x})$ stands for the usual action of $\mathbf{M}$ on $\mathbf{x}$. In particular, $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{e}_j)$ is the vector in $\mathbb{C}^m$ defined by the j^{th} column of $\mathbf{M}$. Given points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{r}$ stands for the usual dot product.

We denote by **SVect** the full subcategory of **Vect** with objects the spaces $\mathbb{C}^n$, for all $n \geq 0$ (the "S" stands for "skeleton"). **SVect**, and hence also its finite products $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ for all $n \geq 1$, are $\mathbb{C}$-linear additive categories. In the whole paper, we will take as biproduct functor $\oplus = \oplus_{\mathbf{SVect}}$ on **SVect** that acting on morphisms in the standard way (on objects it is necessarily given by $\mathbb{C}^a \oplus \mathbb{C}^b = \mathbb{C}^{a+b}$). Thus, given linear maps $f : \mathbb{C}^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a'}$ and $g : \mathbb{C}^b \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{b'}$, with matrices in canonical basis $[f]$, $[g]$ respectively, $f \oplus g : \mathbb{C}^{a+b} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a'+b'}$ denotes the linear map such that

$$[f \oplus g] = \begin{pmatrix} [f] & 0 \\ 0 & [g] \end{pmatrix}$$

This corresponds to taking as morphisms $\iota^a_{a,b} : \mathbb{C}^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a+b}$, $\iota^b_{a,b} : \mathbb{C}^b \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a+b}$, $\pi^a_{a,b} : \mathbb{C}^{a+b} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^a$ and $\pi^b_{a,b} : \mathbb{C}^{a+b} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^b$ defining the biproduct of $\mathbb{C}^a$ and $\mathbb{C}^b$ the linear maps defined by

$$[\iota^a_{a,b}] = [\pi^a_{a,b}]^T = \begin{pmatrix} Id_a \\ \mathbf{0}_{b\times a} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[\iota^b_{a,b}] = [\pi^b_{a,b}]^T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{b\times a} \\ Id_b \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{0}_{p\times q}$ denotes the $p\times q$ zero matrix. As biproduct functor in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$, denoted $\oplus_n$, we take that induced by $\oplus$ componentwise. In particular, for any two objects $(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{b_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{b_n})$ of $\mathbf{SVect}^n$, the canonical maps

$$\iota^{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}_{(a_1,\ldots,a_n),(b_1,\ldots,b_n)} : (\mathbb{C}^{x_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{x_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \oplus_n (\mathbb{C}^{b_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{b_n})$$
$$\pi^{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}_{(a_1,\ldots,a_n),(b_1,\ldots,b_n)} : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \oplus_n (\mathbb{C}^{b_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{b_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{x_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{x_n})$$

are given by

$$\iota^{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}_{(a_1,\ldots,a_n),(b_1,\ldots,b_n)} = (\iota^{x_1}_{a_1,b_1},\ldots,\iota^{x_n}_{a_n,b_n})$$
$$\pi^{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}_{(a_1,\ldots,a_n),(b_1,\ldots,b_n)} = (\pi^{x_1}_{a_1,b_1},\ldots,\pi^{x_n}_{a_n,b_n})$$

for $x = a, b$, with $\iota^{x_j}_{a_j,b_j} : \mathbb{C}^{x_j} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a_j+b_j}$ and $\pi^{x_j}_{a_j,b_j} : \mathbb{C}^{a_j+b_j} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{x_j}$ the maps given above.

2 The strict 2-category 2SVect

To shorten the path from **2Vect** to $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$, we will take as our starting point the following 2-category **2SVect**, which is skeletal on objects, but not on morphisms.

Definition 2.1 *Let* **2SVect** *be the 2-category whose objects, 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms are respectively the* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear additive categories* $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ *for all* $n \geq 0$*, the* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear (hence, additive) functors* $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ *and the natural transformations, with the usual compositions of functors and natural transformations and usual 1- and 2-identities.*

In this definition, $\mathbf{SVect}^0$ means the terminal category with only one object and one (identity) morphism. It has an obvious $\mathbb{C}$-linear additive structure.

As it will become clear in the sequel, choosing as objects of **2Vect** the categories $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ instead of $\mathbf{Vect}^n$ or any category $\mathbb{C}$-linear equivalent to $\mathbf{Vect}^n$, for $n \geq 0$, is an essential step in getting our strict totally coordinatized version of **2Vect**. It allows us to identify the objects of $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ with points $\mathbf{a} = (a_1,\ldots,a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and, most importantly, it makes possible to completely coordinatize the 2-category in a relatively easy way without carrying out additional skeletizations.

The reader may be wondering if the 1- and 2-morphisms considered in the previous definition really correspond to those considered by Kapranov and Voevodsky, namely, the **Vect**-module functors and the **Vect**-module natural transformations, respectively. This was indeed shown by Yetter [7], who proved that the notion of **Vect**-module functor between suitable **Vect**-module categories, of which our categories $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ are particular cases, is equivalent to that of a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor and, furthermore, that every natural transformation between such functors is a **Vect**-module natural transformation.

3 Coordinatizing 2SVect

As indicated in the introduction, to get our 2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$ from $\mathbf{2SVect}$ we will just carry out a coordinatization process, implying no additional "skeletization". In other words, we want to identify manageable sets parametrizing both the sets of 1-morphisms between two given objects $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ and $\mathbf{SVect}^m$ and the sets of 2-morphisms between any two 1-morphisms. As it will be seen in the sequel, this requires fixing various functors (in coordinatizing both the notion of 1- and 2-morphism). This is to be thought of as an analog of the choice of a basis in each vector space when going from **SVect** to **Mat**.

3.1 1-morphisms

Given $n, m \geq 1$, let us denote by $\mathbf{Fun}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{SVect}^n, \mathbf{SVect}^m)$ the set of $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ and let $\mathrm{Mat}_{m\times n}(\mathbb{N})$ be the set of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{N}$. Recall that a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$, for any $m \geq 1$, is the same thing as a collection of $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors $F_1, \ldots, F_m : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$, called its *components*.

For any $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let us denote by $\mathbb{C}(j, n)$ the object of $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ given by

$$\mathbb{C}(j,n) = (0, \ldots, 0, \overset{j)}{\mathbb{C}}, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

Then, we have a map $\mathbf{R} : \mathbf{Fun}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{SVect}^n, \mathbf{SVect}^m) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_{m\times n}(\mathbb{N})$ which applies a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor F to the matrix $\mathbf{R}^F$ defined by

$$\mathbf{R}^F_{ij} = \dim F_i(\mathbb{C}(j,n)), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,\ j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3.1}$$

where F_i is the i^{th}-component of F, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. $\mathbf{R}^F$ will be called the *rank matrix* (or *rank vector* in case $m = 1$) of F. Thus, we have

$$F(\mathbb{C}(j,n)) = (\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{R}^F_{1j}}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{R}^F_{mj}}) \quad j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3.2}$$

This matrix is the analog in our setting of the $m \times n$ complex matrix describing a morphism $f : \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ in **Vect**. The choice of a basis in the domain and codomain vector spaces $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^m$ corresponds in our case to the choice of the objects $\{\mathbb{C}(j,n),\ j = 1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\{\mathbb{C}(i,m),\ i = 1, \ldots, m\}$ as the basic ones from which any other object may be constructed by taking finite biproducts. In the same way as the matrix of the linear map completely determines its action on the vectors of $\mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathbf{R}^F$ uniquely determines the action of F on objects. More explicitly, any $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor is in particular additive and consequently, it preserves biproducts. Since the codomain category is skeletal, it follows that for any object $(\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) &= F(\mathbb{C}(1,n)^{a_1} \oplus_n \cdots \oplus_n \mathbb{C}(n,n)^{a_n}) \\ &= F(\mathbb{C}(1,n))^{a_1} \oplus_n \cdots \oplus_n F(\mathbb{C}(n,n))^{a_n} \end{aligned}$$

from which one easily gets that

$$F(\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) = (\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{R}^F(\mathbf{a})_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{R}^F(\mathbf{a})_m}) \tag{3.3}$$

Unlike the case of linear maps, however, it still remains to identify a minimal set of data determining the action of F on morphisms.

Let us consider the case $m = 1$. In this case, the rank matrix (or rank vector) reduces to a point $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, and for any object $(\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ we have (cf. Equation (3.3))

$$F(\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \tag{3.4}$$

For any $n \geq 1$, let us define $\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r}) = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r} \neq 0\}$. Then, we have the following initial description of the data defining the action of the functor on morphisms.

Lemma 3.1 *To give a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$ with rank vector $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ it is necessary and sufficient to give linear maps*

$$\begin{aligned} A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i) &: \mathbb{C}^{r_k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \\ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i) &: \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r_k} \end{aligned}$$

for all points $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})$, all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $r_k, a_k \neq 0$ and all $i = 1, \ldots, a_k$, satisfying the following conditions:

(A1) $A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{e}_k, k', 1) = B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{e}_k, k', 1) = \delta_{kk'}\, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{r_k}}$.

(A2) $B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i) \circ A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k', i') = \delta_{kk'}\delta_{ii'}\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{r_k}}$.

(A3) $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{a_k} A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i) \circ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}$.

(the sum over k in (A3) is only over those k such that $r_k, a_k \neq 0$). Moreover, the correspondence between pairs $(\{A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i}, \{B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i})$ as above and $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$ with rank vector $\mathbf{r}$ is a bijection.

Proof. Let us consider the following presentation of $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ in terms of $\mathbb{C}$-linear generators and relations[1]. For any $a \geq 1$ and $i = 1, \ldots, a$, let $\iota_i^{(a)} : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^a$ (resp. $\pi^i_{(a)} : \mathbb{C}^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$) be the canonical inclusion of $\mathbb{C}$ into the i^{th}-component (resp. projection of $\mathbb{C}^a$ onto the i^{th}-component). Furthermore, given $a, a' \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathbf{0}(a, a') : \mathbb{C}^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a'}$ the corresponding zero morphism. Then, for any linear map $f : \mathbb{C}^a \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{a'}$ $(a, a' \geq 1)$, we have

$$f = \sum_{i'=1}^{a'} \sum_{i=1}^{a} [f]_{i'i}\ \iota_{i'}^{(a')} \circ \pi^i_{(a)}$$

It readily follows that, given any morphism $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a'_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a'_n})$

---

[1] By $\mathbb{C}$-linear generators of a $\mathbb{C}$-linear category $\mathcal{A}$ we obviously mean a set of non identity and non zero morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$ such that any other morphism can be obtained from them and from identity and zero morphisms by taking linear combinations and compositions. As always, a relation between such a set of generators is any pair of words in the generators defining the same morphism in $\mathcal{A}$.

in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$, it is

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1,\ldots,f_n) &= (f_1,\mathbf{0}(a_2,a_2'),\ldots,\mathbf{0}(a_n,a_n')) + \cdots + (\mathbf{0}(a_1,a_1'),\ldots,\mathbf{0}(a_{n-1},a_{n-1}'),f_n) \\ &= \sum_{i_1'=1}^{a_1'}\sum_{i_1=1}^{a_1}[f_1]_{i_1' i_1}(\iota^{(a_1')}_{i_1'}\circ\pi^{i_1}_{(a_1)},\mathbf{0}(a_2,a_2'),\ldots,\mathbf{0}(a_n,a_n')) + \cdots \\ &\qquad \cdots + = \sum_{i_n'=1}^{a_n'}\sum_{i_n=1}^{a_n}[f_n]_{i_n' i_n}(\mathbf{0}(a_1,a_1'),\ldots,\mathbf{0}(a_{n-1},a_{n-1}'),\iota^{(a_n')}_{i_n'}\circ\pi^{i_n}_{(a_n)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i_k'=1}^{a_k'}\sum_{i_k=1}^{a_k}[f_k]_{i_k' i_k}\ \iota(\mathbf{a}',k,i_k')\circ\pi(\mathbf{a},k,i_k)\end{aligned}$$

where the maps $\iota(\mathbf{a},k,i):(\mathbf{0},\ldots,\overset{k)}{\mathbb{C}},\ldots,\mathbf{0})\longrightarrow(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ and $\pi(\mathbf{a},k,i):(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})\longrightarrow(\mathbf{0},\ldots,\overset{k)}{\mathbb{C}},\ldots,\mathbf{0})$ are given by

$$\iota(\mathbf{a},k,i)) = (\mathbf{0}(0,a_1),\ldots,\overset{k)}{\iota^{(a_k)}_i},\ldots,\mathbf{0}(0,a_n)) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\pi(\mathbf{a},k,i) = (\mathbf{0}(a_1,0),\ldots,\overset{k)}{\pi^{i}_{(a_k)}},\ldots,\mathbf{0}(a_n,0)) \tag{3.6}$$

for any $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$, any $k=1,\ldots,n$ such that $a_k\neq 0$ and $i=1,\ldots,a_k$. This shows that the maps $\{\iota(\mathbf{a},k,i),\pi(\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-linear generators for the category $\mathbf{SVect}^n$. Furthermore, we have the following obvious relations between them:

$$\iota(\mathbf{e}_k,k',1) = \pi(\mathbf{e}_k,k',1) = \delta_{kk'}\,\mathrm{id}_{(\mathbf{0},\ldots,\overset{k)}{\mathbb{C}},\ldots,\mathbf{0})} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\pi(\mathbf{a},k,i)\circ\iota(\mathbf{a},k',i') = \delta_{kk'}\delta_{ii'}\ \mathrm{id}_{(\mathbf{0},\ldots,\overset{k)}{\mathbb{C}},\ldots,\mathbf{0})} \tag{3.8}$$

$$\textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{a_k}\iota(\mathbf{a},k,i)\circ\pi(\mathbf{a},k,i) = \mathrm{id}_{(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})} \tag{3.9}$$

(the sum over k in (3.9) is only over those values of k such that $a_k\neq 0$).

Then, given a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F:\mathbf{SVect}^n\longrightarrow\mathbf{SVect}$ with rank vector $\mathbf{r}$, it immediately follows from (3.7)-(3.9) that taking

$$A(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i) = F(\iota(\mathbf{a},k,i)) \tag{3.10}$$

$$B(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i) = F(\pi(\mathbf{a},k,i)) \tag{3.11}$$

we obtain a set of data as in the statement of the Lemma. Conversely, suppose we are given linear maps $A(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)$ and $B(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)$ as above. Then, a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F:\mathbf{SVect}^n\longrightarrow\mathbf{SVect}$ with rank vector $\mathbf{r}$ can be defined by

$$F(f_1,\ldots,f_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{i_k=1}^{a_k'}\sum_{j_k=1}^{a_k}[f_k]_{i_k j_k}\ A(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a}',k,i_k)\circ B(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,j_k) \tag{3.12}$$

for any morphism $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a'_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a'_n})$ (again the sum over k in (3.12) should be understood only over all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $a_k, a'_k \neq 0$, because otherwise $[f_k]$ is the empty matrix). Clearly, these assignments are $\mathbb{C}$-linear and, by condition (A3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{a_1}}, \ldots, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{a_n}}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i_k=1}^{a_k} \sum_{j_k=1}^{a_k} \delta_{i_k j_k} A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i_k) \circ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, j_k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{a_k} A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i) \circ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i) \\
&= \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{r}}} \\
&= \mathrm{id}_{F(\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n})}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, given composable morphisms $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a'_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a'_n})$ and $(g_1, \ldots, g_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a'_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a'_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a''_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a''_n})$, condition (A2) gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \circ F(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = \\
&\qquad = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{i'_l=1}^{a''_l} \sum_{j'_l=1}^{a'_l} [g_l]_{i'_l j'_l} \; A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}'', l, i'_l) \circ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}', l, j'_l) \right) \\
&\qquad\quad \circ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i_k=1}^{a'_k} \sum_{j_k=1}^{a_k} [f_k]_{i_k j_k} \; A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}', k, i_k) \circ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, j_k) \right) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{i'_k}^{a''_k} \sum_{j_k=1}^{a_k} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{a'_k} [g_k]_{i'_k s} [f_k]_{s j_k} \right) A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}'', k, i'_k) \circ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, j_k) \\
&\qquad = F(g_1 \circ f_1, \ldots, g_n \circ f_n) \\
&\qquad = F((g_1, \ldots, g_n) \circ (f_1, \ldots, f_n))
\end{aligned}$$

so that the assignments are indeed functorial. Finally, let F be the functor defined by (3.4) and (3.12) from the pair $(\{A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i}, \{B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\mathbf{0}(0, a_1), \ldots, \overset{k)}{\iota_i^{(a_k)}}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}(0, a_n)) &= \sum_{j=1}^{a_k} \delta_{ij} A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, j) \circ B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{e}_k, k, 1) \\
&= A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)
\end{aligned}$$

because of condition (A1), and similarly

$$F(\mathbf{0}(a_1, 0), \ldots, \overset{k)}{\pi^i_{(a_k)}}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}(a_n, 0)) = B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)$$

This proves that the correspondence $(\{A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i}, \{B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i}) \mapsto F$ is injective. Moreover, given F, it is easy to check that if we define linear maps $A(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)$ and $B(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)$ by Equations (3.10)-(3.11), the functor defined by these maps via Equations (3.4) and (3.12) is the original functor F, so that the correspondence is also surjective. □

Although the pairs $(\{A(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i},\{B(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{\mathbf{a},k,i})$ already parametrize the $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors $F:\mathbf{SVect}^n\longrightarrow\mathbf{SVect}$ with rank vector $\mathbf{r}$, these data is not easy to handle. To obtain a more manageable set of data, we proceed as follows.

Let $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})$ be any point such that $a_1+\cdots+a_n\geq 2$ (namely, a point $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})$ for which the A's and B's are not determined by condition (A1)). Then, the corresponding two sets of linear maps $\{A(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$ and $\{B(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$ satisfying axioms (A2) and (A3) are nothing but the data defining a biproduct of a_1 copies of $\mathbb{C}^{r_1}$, a_2 copies of $\mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ and so on, with object part equal to $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$. But in general, if $(X,\{\iota_{X_i}\},\{\pi_{X_i}\})$ and $(X',\{\iota'_{X_i}\},\{\pi'_{X_i}\})$ are two biproducts of the objects $X_1,\ldots,X_n$ in any additive category $\mathcal{A}$, it is well known that there exists a *unique* isomorphism $\varphi:X\longrightarrow X'$ such that

$$\iota'_{X_i}=\varphi\circ\iota_{X_i},\quad \pi'_{X_i}=\pi_{X_i}\circ\varphi^{-1},\quad i=1,\ldots,n \tag{3.13}$$

and conversely, given a biproduct $(X,\{\iota_{X_i}\},\{\pi_{X_i}\})$ and any isomorphism $\varphi:X\longrightarrow X'$, (3.13) defines a new biproduct. Therefore, once we have chosen a particular biproduct as reference, given by maps $\{A^{(0)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$ and $\{B^{(0)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$, the two sets of maps $\{A(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$ and $\{B(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$ satisfying the previous axioms are together equivalent to a single arbitrary linear automorphism $\varphi(\mathbf{a}):\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, related to the A's and B's by

$$A(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)=\varphi(\mathbf{a})\circ A^{(0)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i) \tag{3.14}$$

$$B(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)=B^{(0)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\circ\varphi(\mathbf{a})^{-1} \tag{3.15}$$

Clearly, choosing maps $\{A^{(0)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$ and $\{B^{(0)}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{a},k,i)\}_{k,i}$ for all points $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})$ with $a_1+\cdots+a_n\geq 2$ amounts to choosing a reference functor $H(\mathbf{r}):\mathbf{SVect}^n\longrightarrow\mathbf{SVect}$ with the given rank vector $\mathbf{r}$. Once such a reference functor $H(\mathbf{r})$ has been chosen, we get a (non canonical) bijection between the $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors $F:\mathbf{SVect}^n\longrightarrow\mathbf{SVect}$ with rank vector $\mathbf{r}$ and the families of linear automorphisms

$$\varphi=\{\varphi(\mathbf{a}):\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}},\ \mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})\text{ such that }a_1+\cdots+a_n\geq 2\}$$

which gives the desired parametrization. By Equations (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15), this bijection maps the family φ to the functor F_φ acting on morphisms by

$$F_\varphi(f_1,\ldots,f_n)=\varphi(\mathbf{a}')\circ H(\mathbf{r})(f_1,\ldots,f_n)\circ\varphi(\mathbf{a})^{-1} \tag{3.16}$$

To state things more formally, let $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r})$ be the fiber bundle of groups over $\mathbb{N}^n$ with fibers given by

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r})_{\mathbf{a}}=\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r})$$

where $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ denotes the general linear group of complex invertible $m\times m$ matrices if $m\geq 1$ and $\mathrm{GL}(0)=1$. Let $\Gamma(\mathbf{r})$ be the corresponding group of sections. Clearly, a section $s\in\Gamma(\mathbf{r})$ is equivalent to a family of automorphisms $\varphi=\{\varphi(\mathbf{a}):\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}\cdot\mathbf{r}},\ \mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})\}$, with $\varphi(\mathbf{a})$ such that $[\varphi(\mathbf{a})]=s(\mathbf{a})$ for all $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})$. Such a section will be called *normalized* when

$$s(\mathbf{e}_k)=Id_{r_k}$$

for all $k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $\mathbf{e}_k\in\mathbb{N}^n(\mathbf{r})$. Then, we have shown the following:

Theorem 3.2 *Let* $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{SVect}^n, \mathbf{SVect})$ *be the set of* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear functors* $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$ *with rank vector* $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^n$*, and let* $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r}) \subset \Gamma(\mathbf{r})$ *be the subgroup of normalized sections of the fiber bundle* $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{r})$ *over* $\mathbb{N}^n$*. Then, there is a (non canonical) bijection of sets*

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{SVect}^n, \mathbf{SVect}) \cong \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r})$$

To be precise, for any given rank vector $\mathbf{r}$, we shall take as reference functor $H(\mathbf{r})$ that defined by

$$H(\mathbf{r})(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^{r_j}} \otimes f_j \tag{3.17}$$

so that [2]

$$[H(\mathbf{r})(f_1, \ldots, f_n)] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{r_1} \otimes [f_1] & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{Id}_{r_2} \otimes [f_2] & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \mathrm{Id}_{r_n} \otimes [f_n] \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.18}$$

The reader may easily check that this choice corresponds to taking as maps $A^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)$ and $B^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)$ those defined by

$$[A^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)] = [B^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{a}, k, i)]^T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{s,r_k} \\ \\ E^{a_k,r_k}_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ E^{a_k,r_k}_{ir_k} \\ \\ \mathbf{0}_{t,r_k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.19}$$

where $\mathbf{0}_{p,q}$ denotes the $p \times q$ zero matrix, $E^{p,q}_{ij}$ the $p \times q$ unit matrix with all entries equal to zero except the (i, j)-entry, which is equal to 1, and $s = \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} a_l r_l$, $t = \sum_{l=k+1}^{n} a_l r_l$.

More generally, since a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors $F_1, \ldots, F_m : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$, for arbitrary target categories $\mathbf{SVect}^m$, $m \geq 1$, we have the following parametrization.

Corol·lary 3.3 *Let* $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{SVect}^n, \mathbf{SVect}^m)$ *be the set of* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear functors* $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ *with rank matrix* $\mathbf{R} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m \times n}(\mathbb{N})$*. Then, there is a (non canonical) bijection of sets*

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{SVect}^n, \mathbf{SVect}^m) \cong \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_m)$$

---

[2] Strictly speaking, this formula only works when all maps $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ have nonzero domain and codomain and $r_k \neq 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Obviously, if $r_k = 0$ and/or f_k has zero domain and codomain, the term $Id_{r_k} \otimes [f_k]$ is empty and the corresponding row and column disappear. However, if $r_k \neq 0$ and f_k has a zero domain but a nonzero codomain (resp. a zero codomain but a nonzero domain), we should take care of adding the appropriate number of rows (resp. columns) of zeros in the appropriate positions, in spite of the fact that the corresponding term $Id_{r_k} \otimes [f_k]$ is empty. In particular, this may give rise to non diagonal matrices.

Definition 3.4 *The m-tuple of normalized sections $(s_1, \ldots, s_m) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_m)$ defining a functor $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ with rank matrix $\mathbf{R}$ will be called the gauge of the functor (with respect to the above chosen references $\{H(\mathbf{r})\}_{\mathbf{r}}$).*

Explicitly, the (unique) $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_m)$ with rank matrix $\mathbf{R}$ and gauge $(s_1, \ldots, s_m)$ is that whose i^{th}-component $F_i : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, is the functor acting on objects and morphisms according to Equations (3.4) and (3.16), respectively, with $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{R}_i$ and $\varphi_i(\mathbf{a})$ the linear map such that $[\varphi_i(\mathbf{a})] = s_i(\mathbf{a})$.

In particular, if a section s_i in the gauge is *trivial*, i.e., such that $s_j(\mathbf{a}) = Id_{a_j}$ for all points $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the component F_i of F reduces to the corresponding reference functor $H(\mathbf{R}_i)$. This is the case, when $n = m$, for each section in the gauge defining the identity functor $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{SVect}^n} : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^n$, whose rank matrix is $\mathbf{R} = Id_n$. Whenever a section $s \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r})$ is trivial in the above sense, we will write $s = 1$.

Before finishing this paragraph, it is worth emphasizing that Equation (3.16) readily implies the well-known fact that a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor is determined up to isomorphism by its rank matrix, i.e., we have the following:

Corol·lary 3.5 *Let $F, G : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ be two $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors with rank matrices $\mathbf{R}(F)$ and $\mathbf{R}(G)$, respectively. Then, they are isomorphic if and only if $\mathbf{R}(F) = \mathbf{R}(G)$.*

Obviously, this fact already implies the existence of the correspondence between sections of $\Gamma(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors with rank vector $\mathbf{r}$. Indeed, any such functor being naturally isomorphic to $H(\mathbf{r})$, it is necessarily of the form (3.16), with $\varphi(\mathbf{a})$ the components of any natural isomorphism $\tau : H(\mathbf{r}) \Rightarrow F$. But it is not so obvious from the corollary alone that we really get a bijection by just restricting to the normalized sections, because there will be in general various natural isomorphisms τ between $H(\mathbf{r})$ and F.

3.2 Composition of 1-morphisms

According to the previous subsection, after suitable coordinatization, a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ is completely specified by a pair $(\mathbf{R}, s)$, with $\mathbf{R}$ the rank matrix and $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_m)$ the gauge. In this subsection, we find how to compute the composition of $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors in terms of these data. This turns out to be the more delicate point in the search of a totally coordinatized description of **2SVect**.

Let $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ and $G : \mathbf{SVect}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^p$ be arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors, defined by pairs $(\mathbf{R}^F, (s^F_1, \ldots, s^F_m))$ and $(\mathbf{R}^G, (s^G_1, \ldots, s^G_p))$, respectively. The rank matrix $\mathbf{R}^{G \circ F}$ of the composite functor is easily computed. Thus, for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have (cf. (3.2)-(3.3))

$$\begin{aligned}(G \circ F)(\mathbb{C}(j, n)) &= G(\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{R}^F_{1j}}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{R}^F_{mj}}) \\ &= (\mathbb{C}^{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{R}^G_{1i}\mathbf{R}^F_{ij}}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{R}^G_{pi}\mathbf{R}^F_{ij}})\end{aligned}$$

from which it immediately follows that

$$\mathbf{R}^{G \circ F} = \mathbf{R}^G \mathbf{R}^F,$$

the usual matrix product. It remains to determine how the gauge of the composite functor $(s^{G \circ F}_1, \ldots, s^{G \circ F}_n)$ is computed from the gauges $(s^F_1, \ldots, s^F_m)$, $(s^G_1, \ldots, s^G_p)$ of F and G.

To simplify notation, we write $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{R}'$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}$ for the rank matrices of F, G and $G \circ F$, respectively, and similarly for the sections in the corresponding gauges. By definition of the gauges, for any $k \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$, any points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbb{N}^n(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)$ and any morphism $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a'_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a'_n})$ in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$, we have

$$\widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{a}')[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]\widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{a})^{-1} = s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a}'))[H(\mathbf{R}'_k)(g_1, \ldots, g_m)]s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a}))^{-1}$$

with $g_i : \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{R}_i} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}' \cdot \mathbf{R}_i}$ defined by

$$[g_i] = s_i(\mathbf{a}')[H(\mathbf{R}_i)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]s_i(\mathbf{a})^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$$

Let us consider the case each f_j, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, is an endomorphism (hence, $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a}$). In this case, we know from (3.18) that $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$ is the block diagonal matrix with $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}$ copies of $[f_1]$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k2}$ copies of $[f_2]$, etc, while $[H(\mathbf{R}'_k)(g_1, \ldots, g_m)]$ is the block diagonal matrix with $\mathbf{R}'_{k1}$ copies of $[g_1]$, $\mathbf{R}'_{k2}$ copies of $[g_2]$ and so on [3]. In particular, it follows that the matrix

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\mathbf{R}'_{ki}} \otimes s_i(\mathbf{a})^{-1}\right)[H(\mathbf{R}'_k)(g_1, \ldots, g_m)]\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\mathbf{R}'_{ki}} \otimes s_i(\mathbf{a})\right) \tag{3.20}$$

is the block diagonal matrix with $\mathbf{R}'_{k1}$ copies of $[H(\mathbf{R}_1)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$, $\mathbf{R}'_{k2}$ copies of $[H(\mathbf{R}_2)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$, etc. Now, by definition of the functors $H(\mathbf{R}_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, this is an m-block diagonal matrix, the i^{th}-block being itself the block diagonal matrix made of $\mathbf{R}'_{ki}$ copies of

$$\mathbf{diag}([f_1], \overset{\mathbf{R}_{i1})}{\ldots}, [f_1], \ldots, [f_n], \overset{\mathbf{R}_{in})}{\ldots}, [f_n])$$

We conclude that (3.20) can be obtained from $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$ by suitably reordering the various copies of the submatrices $[f_1], \ldots, [f_n]$ along the diagonal. In other words, there exist permutation matrices $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$, of order $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj} a_j$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\mathbf{R}'_{ki}} \otimes s_i(\mathbf{a})^{-1}\right)[H(\mathbf{R}'_k)(g_1, \ldots, g_m)]\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\mathbf{R}'_{ki}} \otimes s_i(\mathbf{a})\right) = \\ = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

The annoying point is that, for given $\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}$, there may be various permutation matrices $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ for which (3.21) holds for all $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n})$. This is because, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, there may be various copies of the submatrix $[f_j]$ in $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$. For instance, if $\mathbf{a} = a\mathbf{e}_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $a > 0$, all maps $f_{j'}$, for $j' \neq j$, are the zero map of the zero vector space and both (3.20) and $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$ reduce to

$$\mathbf{diag}([f_j], \overset{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj})}{\ldots}, [f_j])$$

Then, we can take as $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, a\mathbf{e}_j)$ any $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj}a \times \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj}a$ permutation matrix of the form

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, a\mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj}} \otimes Id_a$$

---

[3] Notice that some of the matrices $[f_j]$ or $[g_i]$ may be empty. However, this has no effect on the block diagonal structure of the matrices $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$ and $[H(\mathbf{R}'_k)(g_1, \ldots, g_m)]$.

with $\mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj}}$ an arbitrary permutation matrix of order $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj}$. In particular, we can take

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, a\mathbf{e}_j) = Id_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj}a} \tag{3.22}$$

Similarly, if $\mathbf{R}'_k = \mathbf{e}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, both (3.20) and $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$ reduce to

$$\mathbf{diag}([f_1], \overset{\mathbf{R}_{i1})}{\ldots}, [f_1], \ldots, [f_n], \overset{\mathbf{R}_{in})}{\ldots}, [f_n])$$

and we can take as $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ any matrix of the form

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{diag}(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}_{i1}} \otimes Id_{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}_{in}} \otimes Id_{a_n})$$

with $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}_{ij}}$ an arbitrary permutation matrix of order $\mathbf{R}_{ij}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. In particular, we can take again the corresponding identity matrix

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = Id_{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{R}_{ij} a_j} \tag{3.23}$$

In general, it is clear that any two possible choices $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})'$, for given $\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}$, will differ by just an arbitrary reordering, for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$, of the various copies of $[f_j]$ in $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$. Hence, they will necessarily be related by an equation of the form

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})' = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})\mathbf{diag}(\mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}} \otimes Id_{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{P}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}} \otimes Id_{a_n})$$

In spite of this arbitrariness, once the matrix $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, (1, \overset{n)}{\ldots}, 1))$ has been chosen, it is clear that the matrices $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ for the remaining points $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ can be obtained by just making them act exactly as $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, (1, \overset{n)}{\ldots}, 1))$ except that the action is by blocks of dimensions $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. More explicitly, we can take as $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ the matrix obtained after replacing each nonzero entry in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, (1, \overset{n)}{\ldots}, 1))$ by an identity or an empty matrix, according to the value of the corresponding a_j, and simultaneously deleting the zero entries or replacing them by suitable zero matrices so that the resulting matrix becomes a square matrix of the desired dimension, namely $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj} a_j$.

Example 3.6 Let $\mathbf{R}'_k = (1, 2, 1)$ and $\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so that the matrix (3.20) is

$$\begin{pmatrix} [f_1] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & [f_2] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & [f_2] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & [f_2] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & [f_1] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & [f_1] \end{pmatrix}$$

while $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$ is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} [f_1] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & [f_1] & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & [f_1] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & [f_2] & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & [f_2] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & [f_2] \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose we take

$$\mathbf{P}((1,2,1), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (1,1)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, by applying the above procedure, one gets for $\mathbf{a} = (2, 1)$, for example, the permutation matrix

$$\mathbf{P}((1,2,1), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (2,1)) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To fix this ambiguity, let us give the following definition:

Definition 3.7 *In the above notations, we denote by* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ *the matrix defined as follows:*

- *(i)* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, (1, \overset{n)}{\ldots}, 1))$ *is the unique permutation matrix involving no change in the order of the various copies of* $[f_j]$*, for each* $j = 1, \ldots, n$*, when going from* $[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]$ *to (3.20) (see example above), and*
- *(ii)* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$*, for* $\mathbf{a} \neq (1, \overset{n)}{\ldots}, 1)$*, is that obtained from* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, (1, \overset{n)}{\ldots}, 1))$ *by replacing each nonzero entry by an identity or an empty matrix, according to the value of the corresponding* a_j*, and simultaneously deleting the zero entries or replacing them by suitable zero matrices so that the resulting matrix becomes a square matrix of dimension* $\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{R}'_{ki} \mathbf{R}_{ij} a_j$.

For what follows, it is not necessary to have an explicit expression for these matrices. The only thing which we need is that they satisfy the following *normalization conditions*, which the reader may easily check:

Lemma 3.8 *The matrices* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ *are such that:*

- *(i)* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = Id_{\sum_{j=1}^n R_{ij} a_j}$*, for all* $i = 1, \ldots, m$*;*
- *(ii)* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, a\mathbf{e}_j) = Id_{a \sum_{i=1}^m R'_{ki} R_{ij}}$*, for all* $j = 1, \ldots, n$*;*
- *(iii)* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, Id_n, \mathbf{a}) = Id_{\sum_{j=1}^n R'_{kj} a_j}$*, and*
- *(iv)* $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{r}, a) = Id_{a \sum_{i=1}^m R'_{ki} r_i}$ *when* $\mathbf{R}$ *is an* $m \times 1$ *matrix* $\mathbf{r}$*, so that* $\mathbf{a}$ *reduces to a number.*

Let us come back to the problem of computating the gauge of $G \circ F$. If we define the invertible matrix

$$\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = \widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{a})^{-1} s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a})) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\mathbf{R}'_{ki}} \otimes s_i(\mathbf{a}) \right) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) \tag{3.24}$$

it follows from the previous equations that

$$[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)] = \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})[H(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k)(f_1, \ldots, f_n)]\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})^{-1} \tag{3.25}$$

for any endomorphism $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a_1}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$. We claim that this implies $\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ is of the form

$$\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \Lambda_j(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) \otimes Id_{a_j} \tag{3.26}$$

for some invertible $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kj}$ matrices $\Lambda_j(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Indeed, let $\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
B^{11}_{11} & \cdots & B^{11}_{1\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}} & \cdots & B^{1n}_{11} & \cdots & B^{1n}_{1\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
B^{11}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}1} & \cdots & B^{11}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}} & \cdots & B^{1n}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}1} & \cdots & B^{1n}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
B^{n1}_{11} & \cdots & B^{n1}_{1\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}} & \cdots & B^{nn}_{11} & \cdots & B^{nn}_{1\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
B^{n1}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}1} & \cdots & B^{n1}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1}} & \cdots & B^{nn}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}1} & \cdots & B^{nn}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn}}
\end{pmatrix}$$

with $B^{jj'}_{rs}$ an $a_j \times a_{j'}$ complex matrix, $j, j' = 1, \ldots, n$. Then, (3.25) says that this matrix commutes with all block diagonal matrices of the form

$$\mathbf{diag}(A_1, \overset{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{k1})}{\ldots}, A_1, \ldots, A_n, \overset{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_{kn})}{\ldots}, A_n)$$

for arbitrary $A_1, \ldots, A_n$, with A_j an $a_j \times a_j$ complex matrix. It readily follows that $B^{jj'}_{rs} = 0$ if $j \neq j'$ and that $B^{jj}_{rs} = \lambda^{(j)}_{rs}(\mathbf{a})\ Id_{a_j}$ with $\lambda^{(j)}_{rs}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, the matrix $\Lambda_j(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ referred to in (3.26) is that whose entries are the numbers $\lambda^{(j)}_{rs}(\mathbf{a})$. Since $\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ is invertible, all these matrices $\Lambda_j(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ will also be invertible.

In fact, the coefficients $\lambda^{(j)}_{rs}(\mathbf{a})$ are independent of $\mathbf{a}$. This is easily seen using that (3.25) actually holds for any morphism $(f_1, \ldots, f_n) : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1} \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a'_1} \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{a'_n})$ in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$, not necessarily an endomorphism, a fact which we leave as an exercise to the reader to prove. Thus, when $(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ is not an endomorphism, each matrix A_j above is an arbitrary rectangular $a_j \times a'_j$ matrix and condition (3.25) establishes that

$$\lambda^{(j)}_{rs}(\mathbf{a}')A_j = \lambda^{(j)}_{rs}(\mathbf{a})A_j$$

for all j, r, s. Hence, we can write

$$\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \Lambda_j(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}) \otimes Id_{a_j} \tag{3.27}$$

Now, the matrices $\Lambda_j(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R})$ can be easily computed using that all sections in any gauge are normalized. Thus, letting $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}_j$ in (3.24) and (3.27), we get that

$$\Lambda_j(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{e}_j) = s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{e}_j))\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{e}_j) = s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{e}_j))$$

because of the second normalization condition (cf. Lemma 3.8). Consequently

$$\mathbf{M}_k(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{e}_j)) \otimes Id_{a_j}$$

and, coming back to (3.24), we obtain for $\widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{a})$ the expression:

$$\widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{a}) = s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a})) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\mathbf{R}'_{ki}} \otimes s_i(\mathbf{a}) \right) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}'_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} s'_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1} \otimes Id_{a_j} \right)$$

Therefore, we have proved the following rule, expressing the composition of $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors in terms of the pairs $(\mathbf{R}, s)$:

Theorem 3.9 *Let $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ and $G : \mathbf{SVect}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^p$ be arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors, defined by rank matrices $\mathbf{R}^F$ and $\mathbf{R}^G$ and gauges $(s_1^F, \ldots, s_m^F)$ and $(s_1^G, \ldots, s_p^G)$, respectively. Then, the rank matrix and gauge of the composite functor $G \circ F$ are given by*

$$\mathbf{R}^{G \circ F} = \mathbf{R}^G \mathbf{R}^F \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$s_k^{G \circ F}(\mathbf{a}) = s_k^G(\mathbf{R}^F(\mathbf{a})) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\mathbf{R}^G_{ki}} \otimes s_i^F(\mathbf{a}) \right) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}^G_k, \mathbf{R}^F, \mathbf{a}) \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} s_k^G(\mathbf{R}^F(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1} \otimes Id_{a_j} \right) \tag{3.29}$$

for all $k = 1, \ldots, p$.

Notice that the sections defined by (3.29) are indeed normalized because of the second normalization condition on the permutation matrices $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}^G_k, \mathbf{R}^F, \mathbf{a})$. Furthermore, when one of the functors F or G is an identity (hence, the corresponding sections are trivial), Equation (3.29) clearly gives the sections of the other functor, so that $(Id_n, 1)$ really acts as a unit for this composition law between pairs $(\mathbf{R}, s)$. Less obvious is the strict associativity of this composition, but in our approach this follows from the associativity of functor composition.

3.3 2-morphisms

As done previously with 1-morphisms, in this subsection we determine a set that parametrizes the 2-morphism in **2SVect** between two given 1-morphisms.

Let $F, F' : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ be arbitrary $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors, with rank matrices $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R}'$ respectively, and let $\tau : F \Rightarrow F'$ be a natural transformation. A priori, giving τ requires giving all its components

$$\tau_{(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})} : F(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow F'(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})$$

for all objects $(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$. However, by using k-order biproduct functors $\oplus_n^{(k)} : \mathbf{SVect}^n \times \overset{k)}{\cdots} \times \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^n$ on $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ for each $k \geq 2$, it turns out that a few of these components allows one to compute the remaining ones. Indeed, an arbitrary object $(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ in $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ is the object part of a $(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j)$-order biproduct, namely

$$(\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n}) = \oplus_n^{(\sum_{j=1}^n a_n)}(\mathbb{C}(1,n),\overset{a_1)}{\ldots},\mathbb{C}(1,n),\ldots,\mathbb{C}(n,n),\overset{a_n)}{\ldots},\mathbb{C}(n,n)), \tag{3.30}$$

and we have the following well-known general fact:

Lemma 3.10 *Let $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}$ be categories, with $\mathcal{A}$ additive and $\mathcal{B}$ preadditive. Suppose given two additive functors $F, G : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and let $\tau : F \Rightarrow G$ be a natural transformation. Then, for any objects $A_1,\ldots,A_k$ of $\mathcal{A}$, we have*

$$\tau_{A_1\oplus\cdots\oplus A_k} = \sum_{i=1}^k G(\iota_{A_i}) \circ \tau_{A_i} \circ F(\pi_{A_i}) \tag{3.31}$$

where $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k$, $\iota_{A_i} : A_i \longrightarrow A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k$ and $\pi_{A_i} : A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k \longrightarrow A_i$, $i = 1,\ldots,k$, denote the object and the morphisms defining any biproduct of $A_1,\ldots,A_k$.

Proof. By naturality of τ, we have $G(\pi_{A_i}) \circ \tau_{A_1\oplus\cdots\oplus A_k} = \tau_{A_i} \circ F(\pi_{A_i})$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,k$. Hence, taking the composite on the left with $G(\iota_{A_i})$ we get

$$G(\iota_{A_i} \circ \pi_{A_i}) \circ \tau_{A_1\oplus\cdots\oplus A_k} = G(\iota_{A_i}) \circ \tau_{A_i} \circ F(\pi_{A_i})$$

Equation (3.31) then follows by suming up over all $i = 1,\ldots,k$ and using that $\sum_{i=1}^k \iota_{A_i} \circ \pi_{A_i} = \mathrm{id}_{A_1\oplus\cdots\oplus A_k}$. □

Notice from the previous Lemma that we can choose any biproduct of $A_1,\ldots,A_k$ to compute the $(A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_k)$-component of τ. Then, we shall fix k-order biproduct functors $\oplus_n^{(k)}$ such that, for any $\mathbf{a} = (a_1,\ldots,a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the biproduct (3.30) is that for which the defining morphisms $\iota^{j,l_j}_{a_1,\ldots,a_n} : \mathbb{C}(j,n) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n})$ and $\pi^{j,l_j}_{a_1,\ldots,a_n} : (\mathbb{C}^{a_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{a_n}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(j,n)$, for all $l_j = 1,\ldots,a_j$ and all $j = 1,\ldots,n$, are given by

$$\iota^{j,l_j}_{a_1,\ldots,a_n} = \iota(\mathbf{a}, j, l_j) \tag{3.32}$$

$$\pi^{j,l_j}_{a_1,\ldots,a_n} = \pi(\mathbf{a}, j, l_j) \tag{3.33}$$

with $\iota(\mathbf{a}, j, l_j)$ and $\pi(\mathbf{a}, j, l_j)$ the linear generators of $\mathbf{SVect}^n$ introduced in Lemma 3.2.

It follows from (3.31) that τ is uniquely determined by the components $\{\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)},\ j = 1,\ldots,n\}$. Furthermore, the reader may easily check that these components can be chosen arbitrarily, because naturality is always fulfilled when the remaining components are defined by (3.31).

According to (3.2), $\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)} : F(\mathbb{C}(j,n)) \longrightarrow F'(\mathbb{C}(j,n))$ is of the form

$$\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)} = (\Phi(\tau)_{1j} \ldots, \Phi(\tau)_{mj})$$

for some linear maps $\Phi(\tau)_{ij} : \mathbb{C}^{R_{ij}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{R'_{ij}}$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,m$. Fixing canonical bases in each vector space (the last step in the coordinatization process), this is further equivalent to a family of complex matrices $\mathbf{T}(\tau)_{ij}$ (some of them possibly empty). Therefore, associated to τ, we have an $m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{T}(\tau)$ whose (i,j)-entry is in turn a complex matrix of type $R'_{ij} \times R_{ij}$ if $R'_{ij}, R_{ij} \neq 0$ and empty otherwise. Such a matrix $\mathbf{T}(\tau)$ will be called the *matrix of* τ and we have proved the following:

Theorem 3.11 *Let $F, F' : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ be $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors, with rank matrices $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R}$', and let $\mathrm{Mat}_{m\times n}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}')$ be the set of $m \times n$ matrices $\mathbf{T}$ whose (i,j)-entry is an $R'_{ij} \times R_{ij}$ complex matrix if $R'_{ij}, R_{ij} \neq 0$ and empty otherwise. Then, there is a (non canonical) bijection of sets*

$$\mathrm{Nat}(F, F') \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{m\times n}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}')$$

mapping a natural transformation τ to its matrix $\mathbf{T}(\tau)$.

Obviously, the identity natural transformation of any functor is mapped by this bijection to the trivial matrix $\mathbf{T}$ with all non empty entries equal to identities.

Observe that the parametrizing set $\mathrm{Mat}_{m\times n}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}')$ in the previous bijection is the same for all pairs of functors F, F' with the same rank matrices $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R}'$ (in agreement with the fact that a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor is determined up to isomorphism by its rank matrix), so that an element $\mathbf{T} \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m\times n}(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}')$ represents various natural transformations, insofar as the domain and codomain functors F and F' are not specified among all functors in the respective isomorphism classes.

Let us finally remark that Theorem 3.11 could also be deduced using an enriched version of Yoneda's lemma. Thus, it is easily checked that a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functor $F : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$ of rank $\mathbf{r} = (r_1,\ldots,r_n)$ can be represented by the object $(\mathbb{C}^{r_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{r_n})$, so that, if $G : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}$ is of rank $\mathbf{s} = (s_1,\ldots,s_n)$, we have bijections

$$\mathrm{Nat}(F,G) \cong \mathrm{Nat}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SVect}^n}((\mathbb{C}^{r_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{r_n}),-),G) \cong G(\mathbb{C}^{r_1},\ldots,\mathbb{C}^{r_n}) \cong \mathbb{C}^{r_1 s_1+\ldots+r_n s_n}$$

and this can indeed be identified with the set $\mathrm{Mat}_{1\times n}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s})$. However, these identifications are also non canonical. Our previous approach has the advantage that it makes easier to find how compositions between 2-morphisms should be computed in terms of the corresponding matrices. This is done in the next paragraph.

3.4 Vertical and horizontal composition of 2-morphisms

Let $F, F', F'' : \mathbf{SVect}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{SVect}^m$ be $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors, respectively defined by pairs $(\mathbf{R}^F, s^F)$, $(\mathbf{R}^{F'}, s^{F'})$, $(\mathbf{R}^{F''}, s^{F''})$, and let $\tau : F \Rightarrow F'$ and $\tau' : F' \Rightarrow F''$ be natural transformations, described by matrices $\mathbf{T}(\tau)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\tau')$. It is very easy to see how to compute the matrix $\mathbf{T}(\tau' \cdot \tau)$ of the vertical composite of τ and τ'.

Theorem 3.12 *In the above notations, if* $R^{F''}_{ij}, R^{F'}_{ij}, R^F_{ij} \neq 0$ *it is*

$$\mathbf{T}(\tau' \cdot \tau)_{ij} = \mathbf{T}(\tau')_{ij}\ \mathbf{T}(\tau)_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m,\ j = 1, \ldots, m \tag{3.34}$$

the usual matrix product. Otherwise, it is $\mathbf{T}(\tau' \cdot \tau)_{ij} = \emptyset$ *or* $\mathbf{T}(\tau' \cdot \tau)_{ij} = \mathbf{0}$ *(a zero matrix), depending on which coefficients* $R^{F''}_{ij}, R^{F'}_{ij}, R^F_{ij}$ *are zero.*

Proof. The formula readly follows from the definitions of $\mathbf{T}(\tau)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\tau')$ and the fact that, for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, it is $(\tau' \cdot \tau)_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)} = \tau'_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)}$. □

It is worth noting that, according to (3.34), $\mathbf{T}(\tau' \cdot \tau)$ is independent of the sections defining the various functors involved. It depends only on the matrices $\mathbf{T}(\tau)$ and $\mathbf{T}(\tau')$.

This is no longer true as regards horizontal composition. Indeed, let us consider additional $\mathbb{C}$-linear functors $G, G' : \mathbf{Vect}^m \longrightarrow \mathbf{Vect}^p$, described by pairs $(\mathbf{R}^G, s^G)$ and $(\mathbf{R}^{G'}, s^{G'})$, and a natural transformation $\sigma : G \Rightarrow G'$, described by a matrix $\mathbf{T}(\sigma)$. We know that

$$(\sigma \circ \tau)_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)} = \sigma_{F'(\mathbb{C}(j,n))} \circ G(\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)}), \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

Now, for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$, it is

$$\begin{aligned} F'(\mathbb{C}(j,n)) &= (\mathbb{C}^{R^{F'}_{1j}}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}^{R^{F'}_{mj}}) \\ &= \oplus_m^{(\sum_{i=1}^m R^{F'}_{ij})}(\mathbb{C}(1,m), \overset{R^{F'}_{1j})}{\ldots}, \mathbb{C}(1,m), \ldots, \mathbb{C}(m,m), \overset{R^{F'}_{mj})}{\ldots}, \mathbb{C}(m,m)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Equation (3.31) and using the above higher order biproduct functors (cf. Equations (3.32)-(3.33)), the k-component of $\sigma_{F'(\mathbb{C}(j,n))}$, for any $k = 1, \ldots, p$, is given by (cf. (3.10)-(3.11))

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(\sigma_{F'(\mathbb{C}(j,n))})_k\right] &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{l_i=1}^{\mathbf{R}^{F'}_{ij}} \left[G'_k(\iota(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j), i, l_i))\right] (\sigma_{\mathbb{C}(i,m)})_k \left[G_k(\pi(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j), i, l_i))\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{l_i=1}^{\mathbf{R}^{F'}_{ij}} \left[A^{G'}_k(\mathbf{R}^{G'}_k; \mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j), i, l_i)\right] \mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki} \left[B^G_k(\mathbf{R}^G_k; \mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j), i, l_i)\right] \\ &= s^{G'}_k(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j)) \Xi(F', \mathbf{T}(\sigma), k, j) s^G_k(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where (cf. (3.14)-(3.15))

$$\Xi(F', \mathbf{T}(\sigma), k, j) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{l_i=1}^{\mathbf{R}^{F'}_{ij}} \left[A^{(0)}(\mathbf{R}^{G'}_k; \mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j), i, l_i)\right] \mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki} \left[B^{(0)}(\mathbf{R}^G_k; \mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j), i, l_i)\right]$$

(see the conventions adopted in the introduction as regards notation). Moreover, the k^{th} component $G_k(\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)})$ of the term $G(\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)})$, for any $k = 1, \ldots, p$, is given by (cf. (3.16))

$$\begin{aligned} \left[G_k(\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)})\right] &= [G_k(\Phi(\tau)_{1j}, \ldots, \Phi(\tau)_{mj})] \\ &= s^G_k(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j)) \left[H(\mathbf{R}^G_k)(\Phi(\tau)_{1j}, \ldots, \Phi(\tau)_{mj})\right] s^G_k(\mathbf{R}^F(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{T}(\sigma\circ\tau)_{kj} &= \left[(\sigma_{F'(\mathbb{C}(j,n))})_k\right]\left[G_k(\tau_{\mathbb{C}(j,n)})\right]\\ &= s_k^{G'}(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j))\ \Xi(F',\mathbf{T}(\sigma),k,j)\\ &\qquad \left[H(\mathbf{R}_k^G)(\Phi(\tau)_{1j},\dots,\Phi(\tau)_{mj})\right] s_k^G(\mathbf{R}^F(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

for all $j=1,\dots,n$, $k=1,\dots,p$.

Lemma 3.13 *For all $j=1,\dots,n$, $k=1,\dots,p$, it is*

$$\Xi(F',\mathbf{T}(\sigma),k,j)\left[H(\mathbf{R}_k^G)(\Phi(\tau)_{1j},\dots,\Phi(\tau)_{mj})\right]=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m}\ \mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki}\otimes\mathbf{T}(\tau)_{jk}$$

(recall that $\mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki}$ denotes the matrix in canonical basis of the linear map $\Phi(\sigma)_{ki}$, and similarly for $\mathbf{T}(\tau)_{jk}$).

Proof. Since $B^{(0)}(\mathbf{R}_k^G;\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j),i,l_i)=H(\mathbf{R}_k^G)(\pi(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j),i,l_i))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&B^{(0)}(\mathbf{R}_k^G;\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j),i,l_i)\circ H(\mathbf{R}_k^G)(\Phi(\tau)_{1j},\dots,\Phi(\tau)_{mj})=\\ &\qquad\qquad = H(\mathbf{R}_k^G)(\mathbf{0}(R_{1j}^F,0),\dots,\pi^{l_i}_{(R_{ij}^{F'})}\overset{i)}{\circ}\Phi(\tau)_{ij},\dots,\mathbf{0}(R_{mj}^F,0))\end{aligned}$$

for any i,l_i. It suffices then to see that, for all $i=1,\dots,m$, the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{l_i=1}^{R_{ij}^{F'}}\left[A^{(0)}(\mathbf{R}_k^{G'};\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j),i,l_i)\right]\mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki}\\ &\qquad\qquad\left[H(\mathbf{R}_k^G)(\mathbf{0}(R_{1j}^F,0),\dots,\pi^{l_i}_{(R_{ij}^{F'})}\overset{i)}{\circ}\Phi(\tau)_{ij},\dots,\mathbf{0}(R_{mj}^F,0))\right]\end{aligned}$$

is zero everywhere except for an $(R_{ki}^{G'}R_{ij}^{F'})\times(R_{ki}^G R_{ij}^F)$ non zero block, equal to $\mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki}\otimes\mathbf{T}(\tau)_{ij}$ and starting at row $1+s_i=1+\sum_{q=1}^{i-1}R_{kq}^{G'}R_{qj}^{F'}$ and column $1+t_i=1+\sum_{q=1}^{i-1}R_{kq}^G R_{qj}^F$. Now, it easily follows from (3.19) that $[A^{(0)}(\mathbf{R}_k^{G'};\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j),i,l_i)\circ\Phi(\sigma)_{ki}]$ looks like

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{0}_{s_i\times R_{ki}^G}\\ \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki};l_i,1)\\ \vdots\ \mathbf{R}_{ki}^{G'})\\ \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki};l_i,\mathbf{R}_{ki}^{G'})\\ \mathbf{0}_{\tilde{s}_i\times\mathbf{R}_{ki}^G}\end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki}; l_i, q))$, $q = 1, \ldots, R^{G'}_{ki}$, is the $R^{F'}_{ij} \times R^{G}_{ki}$ matrix everywhere zero except for the l_i^{th} row, which is equal to the q^{th} row of $\mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki}$, while $\tilde{s}_i = \sum_{q=i+1}^{m} R^{G'}_{kq} R^{F'}_{qj}$. Similarly, by definition of the reference functors H, we have that $[H(\mathbf{R}^G_k)(\mathbf{0}(R^F_{1j}, 0), \ldots, \overset{i)}{\pi^{l_i}_{(R^{F'}_{ij})} \circ \Phi(\tau)_{ij}}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}(R^F_{mj}, 0))]$ looks like

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{R^G_{ki} \times t_i} & \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{T}(\tau); l_i) & \mathbf{0}_{R^G_{ki} \times \tilde{t}_i} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{T}(\tau)_{ij}; l)$ denotes the block diagonal matrix

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{T}(\tau)_{ij}; l) = \mathrm{diag}(l^{th}\text{-row of } \mathbf{T}(\tau)_{ij}, \overset{R^G_{ki})}{\ldots}, l^{th}\text{-row of } \mathbf{T}(\tau)_{ij})$$

while $\tilde{t}_i = \sum_{q=i+1}^{m} R^{G}_{kq} R^{F}_{qj}$. The above statement readily follows now by taking the product of both matrices and suming up over all $l_i = 1, \ldots, R^{F'}_{ij}$. □

Hence, we have proved the following:

Theorem 3.14 *For any natural transformations $\tau : F \Rightarrow F'$ and $\sigma : G \Rightarrow G'$, the matrix $\mathbf{T}(\sigma \circ \tau)$ of the horizontal composite is given by*

$$\mathbf{T}(\sigma \circ \tau)_{kj} = s^{G'}_k(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j)) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{T}(\sigma)_{ki} \otimes \mathbf{T}(\tau)_{jk} \right) s^{G}_k(\mathbf{R}^{F}(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1} \tag{3.35}$$

for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $k = 1, \ldots, p$.

Except for the gauge terms $s^{G'}_k(\mathbf{R}^{F'}(\mathbf{e}_j))$ and $s^{G}_k(\mathbf{R}^{F}(\mathbf{e}_j))$, this is the formula that defines the horizontal composition between 2-morphisms in Kapranov and Voevodsky's totally coordinatized version $\mathbf{2Vect}_{cc}$. We leave as an exercise to the reader to directly check that, unlike Kapranov and Voevodsky's formula, (3.35) indeed defines a strictly associative composition. Notice also that, according to this formula, the identity natural transformation of any identity functor act as a unit with respect to horizontal composition, as required in any strict 2-category.

4 The strict 2-category $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$

The previous arguments allow us to formulate the definition of our strict totally coordinatized version of **2Vect** as follows.

Definition 4.1 $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$ *is the strict 2-category with objects, 1-morphisms and 2-morphisms defined by:*

- *objects: the natural numbers $n \geq 0$.*
- *1-morphisms: given $n, m \geq 1$, a 1-morphism $n \longrightarrow m$ is a pair $(\mathbf{R}, s)$, where*
    - *(i) $\mathbf{R}$ (the rank matrix) is an $m \times n$ matrix (R_{ij}) with entries in $\mathbb{N}$, and*

(ii) s (the gauge) is a collection $\{(s_1(\mathbf{a}), \ldots, s_m(\mathbf{a}))\}_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{N}^n}$ with $s_i(\mathbf{a})$ an $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a})_i \times \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a})_i$ invertible complex matrix if $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a})_i \neq 0$ and $s_i(\mathbf{a}) = 1$ otherwise, and satisfying the following normalization condition: for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$, it is

$$s_i(\mathbf{e}_j) = Id_{R_{ij}} \tag{4.1}$$

whenever $R_{ij} = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{e}_j)_i \neq 0$ (otherwise, it is equal to 1).

When $n = 0$ and/or $m = 0$, there is only one 1-morphism $n \longrightarrow m$, which will be denoted by $\mathbf{0}_{n,m}$.

- *2-morphism: given objects $n, m \geq 1$ and 1-morphisms $(\mathbf{R}, s), (\mathbf{R}', s') : n \longrightarrow m$, with $\mathbf{R} = (R_{ij})_{i=1,\ldots,m,j=1,\ldots,n}$ and $\mathbf{R}' = (R'_{ij})_{i=1,\ldots,m,j=1,\ldots,n}$, a 2-morphism $(\mathbf{R}, s) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}', s')$ is an $m \times n$ matrix T whose (i,j) entry T_{ij} is an $R'_{ij} \times R_{ij}$ complex matrix if both $R_{ij}, R'_{ij} \neq 0$ and empty otherwise. If $n = 0$ and/or $m = 0$, both 1-morphisms are necessarily equal and there is a unique 2-morphism between them denoted $1_{\mathbf{0}_{n,m}}$ (obviously, it is the identity 2-morphism of $\mathbf{0}_{n,m}$).*

The various compositions are as follows:

- *composition of 1-morphisms: if $n, m, p \geq 1$ and $(\mathbf{R}, s) : n \longrightarrow m$ and $(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}, \widetilde{s}) : m \longrightarrow p$, the composite $(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}, \widetilde{s}) \circ (\mathbf{R}, s) : n \longrightarrow p$ is the pair*

$$(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}, \widetilde{s}) \circ (\mathbf{R}, s) = (\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{R}, \widetilde{s} * s) \tag{4.2}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}\mathbf{R}$ denotes the usual matrix product and $\widetilde{s} * s$ is defined by

$$(\widetilde{s} * s)_k(\mathbf{a}) = \widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{a})) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} Id_{\widetilde{R}_{ki}} \otimes s_i(\mathbf{a}) \right) \mathbf{P}(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a}) \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1} \otimes Id_{a_j} \right) \tag{4.3}$$

for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $k = 1, \ldots, p$ (Id_0 means the empty matrix). Here, $\mathbf{P}(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}_k, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{a})$ denote the permutation matrices of order $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{R}_{ki} R_{ij} a_j$ introduced in Definition 3.7 and satisfying the normalization conditions in Lemma 3.8. In case one of the numbers n, m, p is zero, the composite is the corresponding zero map.

- *vertical composition of 2-morphisms: given 2-morphisms $\mathsf{T} : (\mathbf{R}, s) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}', s')$ and $\mathsf{T}' : (\mathbf{R}', s') \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}'', s'')$, with $(\mathbf{R}, s), (\mathbf{R}', s'), (\mathbf{R}'', s'') : n \longrightarrow m$ and $n, m \geq 1$, the vertical composite $\mathsf{T}' \cdot \mathsf{T} : (\mathbf{R}, s) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}'', s'')$ is the matrix obtained by componentwise multiplication, i.e.*

$$(\mathsf{T}' \cdot \mathsf{T})_{ij} = \mathsf{T}'_{ij} \mathsf{T}_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \ j = 1, \ldots, n \tag{4.4}$$

where we agree that the product of a matrix by the empty matrix is the empty matrix or the appropriate zero matrix (for example, if $R'_{ij} = 0$ but $R_{ij}, R''_{ij} \neq 0$, we have $\mathsf{T}_{ij} = \mathsf{T}'_{ij} = \emptyset$, while $(\mathsf{T}' \cdot \mathsf{T})_{ij}$ is the $R''_{ij} \times R_{ij}$ zero matrix).

- *horizontal composition of 2-morphisms: given 2-morphisms* $\mathsf{T} : (\mathbf{R}, s) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}', s') : n \longrightarrow m$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathsf{T}} : (\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}, \widetilde{s}) \Rightarrow (\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}', \widetilde{s}') : m \longrightarrow p$*, with* $n, m, p \geq 1$*, the horizontal composite* $\widetilde{\mathsf{T}} \circ \mathsf{T}$ *is the* $p \times n$ *matrix with entries defined by*

  $$(\widetilde{\mathsf{T}} \circ \mathsf{T})_{kj} = \widetilde{s}'_k(\mathbf{R}'(\mathbf{e}_j)) \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{\mathsf{T}}_{ki} \otimes \mathsf{T}_{ij} \right) \widetilde{s}_k(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{e}_j))^{-1} \tag{4.5}$$

  for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$ *and* $k = 1, \ldots, p$*, where we agree again that the tensor product of any matrix by the empty matrix is the empty matrix.*

The reader may easily check from the previous formulas that the pair $(Id_n, 1)$, where 1 denotes the trivial gauge, indeed corresponds to the identity 1-morphism id_n, for any $n \geq 1$, while it follows from (4.4) that the identity 2-morphisms correspond to matrices all of whose nonempty entries are identities, i.e., if $(\mathbf{R}, s) : n \longrightarrow m$, $\mathbf{1}_{(\mathbf{R},s)}$ is given by $(\mathbf{1}_{(\mathbf{R},s)})_{ij} = Id_{R_{ij}}$ if $R_{ij} \neq 0$ and empty otherwise.

It also follows immediately from the previous formulas that a 1-morphism $(\mathbf{R}, s) : n \longrightarrow m$ in $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$ is invertible if and only if $n = m$ and its rank matrix $\mathbf{R}$ is a permutation matrix, and that two 1-morphisms $(\mathbf{R}, s)$ and $(\mathbf{R}', s')$ are 2-isomorphic if and only if $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}'$ (cf. Corollary 3.5), a 2-morphism $\mathsf{T} : (\mathbf{R}, s) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, s')$ being a 2-isomorphism if and only if all nonempty entries in T are non singular complex matrices.

At this point, the obvious next step is to try to materialize the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{2SVect}_{cc}$ inherited from the monoidal structure on **2Vect**. This is a particularly important point to be able to carry out the study of the representation theory of categorical groups on 2-vector spaces, because it is this monoidal structure that induces the monoidal structure on the 2-category of representations of the categorical group. However, making explicit this structure is deferred to another paper.

Acknowledgements. It is usual at this point to ackowledge some mathematical colleagues or some institution who has given some sort of financial support. Let me thank, however, the person who has really made possible this work: my wife Mercè Serra.

References

[1] J. Barrett and M. Mackaay. Private communication.

[2] L. Crane and I. Frenkel. Four dimensional topological quantum field theory, hopf categories and the canonical bases. *J. Math. Phys.*, 35:5136–5154, 1994.

[3] J. Elgueta. Representation theory of 2-groups on finite dimensional 2-vector spaces, *in preparation.* 2004.

[4] M. Kapranov and V. Voevodsky. 2-categories and zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, volume 56(2), pages 177–260. American Mathematical Society, 1994.

[5] M. Mackaay. Finite groups, spherical 2-categories and 4-manifold invariants. *Adv. Math.*, 153:353–390, 2000.

[6] M. Neuchl. Representation theory of hopf categories. PhD Dissertation, University of Munich, 1997.

[7] D. Yetter. Categorical linear algebra. a setting for questions from physics and low-dimensional topology. *Kansas State University preprint*.